

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Stoles Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS ONDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/085,125	03/01/2002	Tomoaki Umeda	Q66588	3925
7590 09/29/2005		•	EXAMINER	INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			BAYERL, RAYMOND J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	-
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
10/085,125	UMEDA, TOMOAKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Raymond J. Bayerl	2173	

AT

→ Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance w time periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 17(f).
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ion and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee tened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as in three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complian filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensic a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	nce with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since hin the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corr NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	esponding number of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
non-allowable claim(s). would be allowed claim(s) would be allowed.	able if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be	efore or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary an	come <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a nd was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but do Jackson et al. (US #2002/0105658 A1) shows that data can	be registered with "an icon corresponding to a data management
object as the display area 56, fig 3 (a foldering arrangement	it is further shown that data may be registered to such a display  (), by a drag-and-drop operation. An icon-based environment such established collection to be represented by an icon, and to such an
icons that support drag and drop associating processes, fro references. The opened screen areas in Jackson et al. and	convincing, that Jackson et al. and Fredlund et al. suggest using m the observation of the ubiquity of iconic representations in both Fredlund et al. are merely the fully-displayed versions of internal
claimed procedure for registration can occur. Furthermore,	systems, and in any environment with their extent of icon usage, the the claims do not specifically recite that the "registering" is "initially splay object (as in the references, where images are associated with a data".
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTG	
13.  Other:	
·	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050927

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173

27 September 2005

28